UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,625	07/30/2007	Seok-Heon Cho	1403-24 PCT US	3316
	7590 07/30/201 L LAW FIRM, LLP	0	EXAMINER	
290 Broadhollo		CHEN, SHIN HON		
Suite 210E Melville, NY 11747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/591,625	CHO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		SHIN-HON CHEN	2431				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[7]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	ne 2010					
· ·							
/—	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under L	x pane quayle, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9)□ -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/591,625 Page 2

Art Unit: 2431

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-45 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims disclose predetermined time and second predetermined time, whereas the predetermined time is less than second predetermined time and key request is triggered upon expiration of second predetermined time. However, the claim does not explicitly disclose whether the key is received in response to the request for new key or independent of the key request triggered by second predetermined key. Therefore, applicant's is advised to disclose the relationship between the request and response by base station.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2431

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter AAPA) in view of Kruegel U.S. Pub. No. 20050047598 (hereinafter Kruegel) and further in view of Newkirk U.S. Pub. No. 20050047600 (hereinafter Newkirk).
- 6. As per claim 1-45, AAPA discloses a method of rekeying traffic key in 802.16 WMAN where keys are distributed unilaterally through key request and key response messages between subscriber station and base station (AAPA: Specification pages 2-3). AAPA does not explicitly disclose multicasting or broadcasting rekey materials from base station to a group of subscribers by keeping track key schedule/cryptographic period so as to allow the base station to automatically update keys for a group of subscriber stations. However, Kruegel discloses those limitations (Kruegel: [0026]-[0027]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in art to rekey subscriber stations through multicast messages in radio network because they are analogous art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Kruegel within the system of AAPA because it improves the efficiency of key management.

AAPA as modified does not explicitly disclose encrypting traffic key during rekey process. However, Newkirk discloses encrypting rekeying traffic key to protect key material (Newkirk: [0030]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to protect rekey materials from interception by using key-encryption method because they are analogous

art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Newkirk within the combination of AAPA-Kruegel because it is well known in the art to protect key materials from interception by encryption.

AAPA does not explicitly disclose the specific steps of requesting key upon expiration of predetermined time at subscriber station and receive key prior to the request. However, the examiner has raised issue regarding the new limitation as it does not clarify the relationship between key request and key delivery based on predetermined and second predetermined time. Therefore, the new limitation is not considered as this time as it does not present the claims in better form to expedite prosecution.

Applicant is welcome to contact the examiner to reduce matter and focus on inventive concept to expedite prosecution.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 6/22/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The amendment raises issues regarding enablement because the key delivery happens prior to second predetermined time that is used to request key. Therefore, applicant is advised to clarify the function and relationship between key request and delivery that depend on predetermined and second predetermined time.

On the other hand, claims 1, 21, and 39 appear to broadly focus on rekeying process without focusing on the inventive step taught by applicant. Therefore, applicant is advised to

amend the claims to focus on relationship between first and second predetermined time and the key request and delivery between base and subscriber station.

Page 5

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHIN-HON CHEN whose telephone number is (571)272-3789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,625 Page 6

Art Unit: 2431

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shin-Hon Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2431

/Shin-Hon Chen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431